

# DRAFT

## Blewbury Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group

### Minutes of Meeting on 17<sup>th</sup> December 2015 at the Old Mill

**Present:** Dermot Mathias (DM - Chair), Ian Bacon (IB), Nick Chancellor (NC), Richard Farrell (RF), Lydia Inglis (LI), Helen Mathias (HM), Anne Millman (AM),

**Apologies:** Eric Eisenhandler (EE), Angela Hoy (AH), Joe Goyder (JG), Miriam Jacobs (MJ), Chris Lakeland (CL), Jo Lakeland (JL), Mike Marshall (MM), Pat Mattimore (PM), Andrew Maxted (AMax), Alex Musson (AMus), Anton Nath (AN), John Ogden (JO), Charlotte Perry (CP), Gwyn Rees (GR).

- 1. Minutes** of the meeting held on 7<sup>th</sup> December were agreed with minor changes.  
(Attached)
- 2. Vale feedback.** DM reported that he had had a brief conversation with AMax who had circulated the plan to various officers in the Vale.

Action points agreed on specific policies as follows:

**P1.** AMax wished to discuss with others in the Vale what their reaction would be if we retained the red line and let the inspector decide. Points 2 and 4 to be amalgamated and moved to P2.

**P2.** Point 5 to be deleted

**P3.** We thought that this policy (Housing Mix) was important and NC will discuss further with the Vale.

**P4.** NC also to discuss with the Vale.

**P5, 6.** No change

**P7.** AM to re-word 'non-generic design'

**P8.** First paragraph to be moved to preamble.

**P9.** No change.

**P10.** RF and IB to discuss, in particular point 2, concerning close-boarded fencing.

**P11.** No change

**P12,13.** To be referred to GR.

**P14,15.** No change

**P16.** Deleted

**P17,18** No change.

- 3. Urban Vision feedback.** The points raised by DC in comment 15 were in principle accepted. There was a need for consistency in each policy, giving background first, then purpose linked to aims and then a policy statement. **DM** would revise format accordingly.

**AM** would discuss presentation of quotes with **EE**.

**LI** would refer DC's comments on the VCA to Dorian and Cathy.

(**IB** had spoken to Brian Duckett about the differences between HDA's report and the VCA and would suggest a revised form of words in the Landscape report.)

Action points agreed on policies as follows:

**P1. DM** to revise.

**P2. NC** to revise.

**P3.** Subject to Vale's further feedback UV may be asked to revise.

**P4, 5, 6.** Await Vale's feedback.

**P7. IB** to revise.

**P8, 9 and 10. LI** to revise

**P11. IB** to revise.

**P12 and 13. GR** to consider

**P14 and 15. DM** to revise

**P16.** Deleted (?)

**P17, 18. DM** to ask DC to revise.

- 4. Preparation for and timing of consultation.** JL had circulated information on this, suggesting that the 6 week consultation period commence on 24<sup>th</sup> January. **DM** would discuss the feasibility of this date at the next meeting. Whilst the village hall was booked for a public consultation meeting on 31<sup>st</sup> January, NC pointed out that such a meeting could be held at any time during the 6 week consultation. It was agreed that 24<sup>th</sup> January would be too early for a public meeting and therefore **AH** should cancel the village hall booking for that date retaining the booking on 31<sup>st</sup> January for the time being.
- 5. Date of next meeting.** **DM** to organise a further meeting of the policy group followed by a full meeting in January.